

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/820,416	04/07/2004	Sean Christopher Endler	81488 7114	7822	
37123 7590 01/16/2009 FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY			EXAMINER		
	ASALLE SUITE 1600		BASOM, BLAINE T		
CHICAGO, IL	. 00003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/820,416	ENDLER ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Blaine Basom	2173					

	Blaine Basom	2173						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	HE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expitation take of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since a					
Main the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) I hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c)			ne issues for					
NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. For example, claim 1, as amended by the proposed amendments, replies "wherein the subherical display surface is convex" and "scrolling through one of the first content nat the second confent based on instructions. "Such features have not previously been required by any prior version of any claim, and therefore require further search and consideration. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(e)).								
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 								
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		-	-					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ided below or appended.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

/Kieu D Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2175

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090112

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicants' arguments address the art of record with respect to the claims, as amended by the proposed amendments. Since the proposed amendments have not been entered, as is noted above, the Applicants' arguments are respectfully considered moot.